## **GENERAL BIOLOGY**

## **Unconsidered Sporadic Sources of Carbon Dioxide Emission from Soils in Taiga Forests**

**D. V. Karelin***a***,***b***,***<sup>c</sup>*  **\*, D. G. Zamolodchikov***a***,***<sup>c</sup>*  **\*\*, and Academician A. S. Isaev***<sup>c</sup>*

Received April 14, 2017

**Abstract**—Long-term monitoring in the Russian taiga zone has shown that all known extreme destructive effects resulting in the weakening and death of tree stands (windfalls, pest attacks, drought events, etc.) can be sporadic, but significant sources of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  soil emission. Among them are (i) a recently found effect of the multiyear  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission from soil at the bottom of deadwood of spruce trees that died due to climate warming and subsequent pest outbreaks, (ii) increased soil  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions due to to the fall of tree trunks during massive windfalls, and (iii) pulse  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission as a result of the so-called Birch effect after drought events in the taiga zone. According to the modeling, while depending on the spatial and temporal scales of their manifestation, the impact of these sporadic effects on the regional and global soil respiration fluxes could be significant and should be taken into consideration. This is due to continuing Climate Change, and further increase of local, regional and Global human impacts on the atmospheric greenhouse gases balance, and land use, as well.

**DOI:** 10.1134/S0012496617040093

In the field of global ecology growing attention continues to be focused on the refinement of estimates of the fluxes of carbon dioxide and methane that compose the carbon cycle between the land and the atmosphere due to anthropogenic trends and natural cyclicity of climate [1]. In particular, during the past three decades, it has been possible to identify an increase in net primary production resulting in the increase in leaf area index in 1982–2009 [2] and to detect the increase in global soil respiration at the rate of 0.1 Gt C per year [3] in 1970–2009. These parallel trends of two main carbon fluxes, opposite in direction, are explained by overall response of terrestrial vegetation to the increasing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  concentration in atmosphere [2] and increasing temperature [3]. At the same time, the study of episodic and irregular or small-area components of the atmospheric fluxes of carbon, related mainly to extreme weather or anthropogenic factors whose contribution is evident at small spatial and/or temporal scales, is no less important [4]. Indeed, many of the modern global components of the greenhouse gas fluxes have recently been their small anthropogenic

*a Faculty of Biology, Moscow State University, Moscow, 119234 Russia*

sinks and sources (settlement and mining areas, transportation, arable lands, rice fields, farming, forest fires, etc.).

In this study, based on chamber and eddy covarience measurements in 2009–2016 in the ecosystems of the taiga zone (research site of the VF GGI of the Rosgidromet of the Russian Federation, Valdai raion, Novgorod oblast), we identified three forms of little known impulse (or small in area) components of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ soil emission that can have a broad regional significance.

Additional emission of CO<sub>2</sub> from the soil as a result of *pathogenic (climatogenic) dying of the tree stand*. During long-term observations of soil  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission in old spruce stands of southern taiga we discovered a local (within  $1-2$  m<sup>2</sup> around the trunks) but significant (three times higher than the background rate) and continuous (year-round, no less than five years) increase in the emission in the near-root zone of the dry spruce trees killed by drought and subsequent epidemics caused by the root fungus and xylofagous beetles (Fig. 1). The most likely reason for this phenomenon is activation of pathogenic fungal flora respiration in the rhizosphere of dead roots. The effect found is an additional factor of the significant increase (by 20–25%) of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission from soil for spruce forest areas with a collapse of stands at the density of trunks typical for the mature southern taiga. This is important in considering the influence of mass climate-driven windfalls (when roots remain in the ground) or biogenic

*b Institute of Geography, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 119017 Russia*

*c Center for Problems of Forest Ecology and Productivity,* 

*Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, 117997 Russia*

*<sup>\*</sup> e-mail: dkarelin7@gmail.com*

*<sup>\*\*</sup> e-mail: dzamolod@mail.ru*



**Fig. 1.** Changes in (1) the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  soil emission from the areas near trunks of dead spruce trees and (2) the background soil emission level in 2014–2016. We used measurements in the same localities of the sampling plot with decomposition of trees. Observations for April–November;  $M \pm m$ ,  $n = 10$  for each locality.

destruction of the tree stand. In Russia, the area of forest stands died off from different causes (fires, windfalls, droughts, pest attacks, etc.) is now about  $11 \times 10^6$ ha [5].

*Pulse release of*  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  *resulting from the so-called Birch effect.* This  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission is characteristic of ecosystems with a pronounced dry seasons. However, our studies have shown that the phenomenon of pulse  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ release is much more widespread than it was previously thought, and it can be also observed in humid forest ecosystems in the periods following short-term droughts. In the season of 2010, the first rain (2.3 mm) after 34 days of abnormal drought and heat, which just moistened the upper layer of litter, caused a strong pulsed emission of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  that continued for several hours and exceeded the current level of NEE (Net Ecosystem Exchange, net carbon flux) of the whole spruce forest from of  $-0.81$  to 89.1 g C/(m<sup>2</sup> day). This corresponds to the increase in the night NEE for these days by a factor of 30 (Fig. 2). We attribute this to the Birch effect [6], based on the emergence of available carbon and nitrogen for microorganisms in the moistened soil [7]. The fact that this pulse was caused by soil respiration is confirmed by its proportion in the growing period being changed from 61.6 to 90.2% of Gross Respiration estimated by the night rate of NEE. Furthermore, in our case, this is not the artifact of the influence of precipitation on measured fluxes [8] due to the limitations of the open-path method of eddy covarience technique. Our experiments on the artificial induce of the Birch effect by addition of standard quantities of distilled water to the soil already parched by drought showed similar rates of the pulse emission. The regional input of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission from soil due to the Birch effect can be enormous. For example, during the summer anticyclone in 2010 the whole territory of the European Russia was under the influence of the extreme drought. Therefore, according to our calculations, the total additional emissions in 2010 due to the Birch effect could increase the mean annual soil emission in European Russia by 22% (!).

*Increase in the soil*  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  *emission in response to the fall of large trunks during windfalls.* This phenomenon is the least studied, although windfalls weakening forest stands are common in boreal forests. They start at wind speed exceeding 8 m/s; at wind speed more than 20 m/s, massive windfalls begin [9]. In the season of 2012, we performed the first instrumental recording of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emission from soil in response to the fall of a large spruce in the period of windfall on August 13–14. This emission (Fig. 3), lasted for at least five days, exceeded fourfold the normal rate of soil  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flux during the growth season. The area of emission corresponded to the area covered with the fallen trunk  $(10-30 \text{ m}^2)$ . In this connection, we know now that we should carefully evaluate the results of major seasonal windfalls for estimation of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  emissions. Most likely, this is due to the physical release of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  from the soil resulting from physical desorption [10] and/or the stimulation of microorganisms due to increased aeration through soil pores, which then leads to depletion of the substrate. This phenomenon should be regarded as an extremely local (tens of square meters), caused by direct impact of tree trunk fall and limited in time (up to several days), as in the case of the Birch effect. Given the subsequent decrease of the emissions below background rate, this effect is likely to be compensated within the annual flux but it can be important at the regional scale in certain periods. For example, during the strong hurricanes in 2009–2010, the areas of complete windfalls in the forest zone of European Russia reached tens of millions of hectares per year [9]. In the period of Global Warming, the area of windfalls increased [11].

The compensation of small components of ecosystem respiration flux at annual balance of carbon evidences against the necessity of taking them into consideration, because additional carbon incoming to the atmosphere by emission is used primarily for local photosynthesis. However, this is true only if intraannual frequency of such infrequent events is not changing significantly or remains small by area. In particular, the Birch effect depends not only on the genetic characteristics of zonal soils in European Russia, but also on the frequency of summer droughts [12]. The frequency and area of windfalls are directly related to the frequency of extreme (primarily wind) phenomena that are directly proportional to temperature to the fourth power [13]. On the other hand, the rise of temperature leads to weakening of the immunity of a tree stand and its subsequent destruction by phytophagous and pathogenic microorganisms, as well as to change in the local rainfall regime. These



**Fig. 2.** Pulse emission of CO<sub>2</sub> on July 29, 2010, during 2–3 h resulting from 2.3-mm precipitation after a monthly drought, during which volumetric soil moisture in spruce forest in the layer of 0–6 cm has decreased from 18 to 4% (Taezhnyi Log research station of the Valdai Branch of the State Meteorological Committee of the Russian Federation). Negative values of the net carbon flux designate its sink to the ecosystem from the atmosphere.



**Fig. 3.** Variation of the soil emission of CO<sub>2</sub>, according to observations in May–September 2009–2012 in the spruce–shamrock forest in southern taiga, Taezhnyi Log research station of the Valdai Branch of the State Meteorological Committee of the Russian Federation. (1) Background mean monthly values and (2) five-day desorption emission of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  caused by a spruce fall during the windfall in August 13–14, 2012;  $M \pm m$ ,  $n = 4-32$ .

interrelated factors ultimately depend on the growing anthropogenic impact at all scales. In addition, it is important which particular carbon comes from the ecosystem to the atmosphere during year. It may be "older" than the carbon in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  desorbed from the soil as a result of tree falling, or relatively "new" carbon coming to the atmosphere as a result of oxidation of the substance of fresh litter of roots or

DOKLADY BIOLOGICAL SCIENCES Vol. 475 2017

through acceleration of the carbon turnover of dead microbiota.

Thus, all known external destructive factors resulting in the death of trees: windfalls, attacks of phytophages and microparasites, as well as droughts leading to their weakening, are additional sources of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ accelerating the carbon cycle in boreal forest ecosystems. The contribution of the discussed effects into the Gross respiration flux depend on the spatial and temporal scales of their manifestation, which, in turn, is determined by increasing local, regional, and global anthropogenic impacts and natural climate change.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project no. 16-17-00123) and Russian Foundation for Basic Research (project no. 16-04- 01580).

## **REFERENCES**

- 1. Zamolodchikov, D.G., *Dokl. Earth Sci.,* 2014, vol. 456, pp. 631–634.
- 2. Zaichun Zhu, Shilong Piao, et al., *Nature Climate Change.* Published online April 25, 2016.
- 3. Bond-Lamberty, B. and Thomson, A., *Nature,* 2010, vol. 464, pp. 579–582.
- 4. Karelin, D.V., Pochikalov, A.V., Zamolodchikov, D.G., and Gitarskii, M.L., *Contemp. Probl. Ecol.,* 2014, vol. 7, pp. 743–751. doi: 10.1134/S1995425514070063
- 5. *Obzor sanitarnogo i lesopatologicheskogo sostoyaniya lesov v Rossiiskoi Federatsii v 2015 godu i prognoz lesopatologicheskoi situatsii na 2016 god* (Survey of the Sanitary and Forest-pathology State of Forests of the Russian Federation in 2015 and Prediction of the Forestpathology Situation for 2016), Pushkino: Rossiiskii Tsentr Zashchity Lesa, 2016.
- 6. Birch, H.F., *Plant Soil,* 1958, vol. 10, pp. 9–31.
- 7. Unger, S., Maguas, C., Pereira, J.S., David, T.S., and Werner, C., *Soil Biol. Biochem.,* 2010, vol. 42.
- 8. Burba, G.G., Kurbatova, Yu.A., Kuricheva, O.A., Avilov, V.K., and Mamkin, V.V., *Metod turbulentnykh pul'satsii. Kratkoe prakticheskoe rukovodstvo* (The Turbulent Pulsation Method: A Concise Guide), Moscow: Inst. Probl. Ekol. Evol. RAN, 2016.
- 9. Krylov, A.M., Malakhova, E.G., and Vladimirova, N.A., *Izv. St.-Petersb. Lesotekh. Akad.,* 2012, no. 200, pp. 197–207.
- 10. Smagin, A.V., Dolgikh, A.V., and Karelin, D.V., *Euras. Soil Sci.,* 2016, vol. 49, no. 4, pp. 450–456.
- 11. *Vtoroi otsenochnyi doklad Rosgidrometa ob izmeneniyakh klimata i ikh posledstviyakh na territorii Rossiiskoi Federatsii* (The Second Assessment Report of the Russian Hydrometeorological Agency on Climate Changes and Their Consequences in the Russian Federation), Rosgidromet, 2014.
- 12. Karelin, D.V., Chestnykh, O.V., and Rostovceva, E.L., *Proc. Eur. Geosci. Union Gen. Assembly,* Vienna, 2016.
- 13. *Climate change 2014: Synthesis Report: Contribution of Working Groups I, II, and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change,* Geneva: IPCC, 2014.

*Translated by S. Kuzmin*